arXiv:1411.3081v2 [math.DS] 19 Feb 2016

SELF-SIMILARITY FOR THE TRICORN

HIROYUKI INOU

Abstract. We discuss self-similar property of the tricorn, the connectedness locus of the anti-holomorphic quadratic family. As a direct consequence of the study on straightening maps by Kiwi and the author [IK12], we show that there are many homeomorphic copies of the Mandelbrot sets. With help of rigorous numerical computation, we also prove that the straightening map is not continuous for the candidate of a "baby tricorn" centered at the airplane, hence not a homeomorphism.

1. Introduction

In the study of dynamics of quadratic polynomials $Q_c(z) = z^2 + c$, the most important object is the *Mandelbrot set*:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{c \in \mathbb{C};\ K(Q_c) \text{ is connected}\},$$

where $K(Q_c) = \{z \in \mathbb{C};\ \{Q_c^n(z)\}_{n\geq 0} \text{ is bounded}\}$ is the *filled Julia set* of Q_c. It is well-known that "baby Mandelbrot sets", which are homeomorphic to the Mandelbrot set itself, are dense in the boundary of the Mandelbrot set [DH85] [Haï00].

Analogously, one may consider the anti-holomorphic family of quadratic polynomials:

$$f_c(z) = \bar{z}^2 + c.$$

The connectedness locus of this family is called the *tricorn* and we denote it by $\mathcal{M}^*$ (Figure 1): Since the second iterate f_c^2 is a (real-analytic) family of holomorphic polynomials, one may regard the family as a special family of holomorphic dynamics. In fact, Milnor [Mil92] numerically observed a "little tricorn" in the real cubic family, and presented the tricorn as a prototype of such objects.

The tricorn was first named the *Mandelbar set* and studied by Crowe et al. [CHRSC89]. Nakane proved that the tricorn is connected by constructing a real-analytic diffeomorphism between $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is the unit disk, using the Böttcher coordinate [Nak93]. Mukherjee, Nakane and Schleicher studied its bifurcations [NS03] [MNS1404]. Numerically one can easily see that there are copies of the Mandelbrot set, but some of those are "blown up" at the root; for example, Crowe et al. [CHRSC89] observed that the main hyperbolic component (the one containing the origin) and each period two hyperbolic component attached to it have a common boundary arc with a cusp. On this common boundary arc, f_c^2 has a parabolic fixed point of multiplier one. Hence this boundary arc should correspond to the root point of the Mandelbrot set. Moreover, this shows that the bifurcation locus is strictly bigger than the boundary of the tricorn.

Partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22740105 and 26400115.



FIGURE 1. The Mandelbrot set and the tricorn.

One of the most striking properties is that the tricorn is not arcwise connected. It looks like that there is an "umbilical cord" accumulating to every hyperbolic component of period greater than one, which connects the component to the main hyperbolic component. However, any reasonable picture of the "umbilical cord" of any *non-real* hyperbolic component of odd period oscillates and it does not seem to converge to a point. Here *non-real* stands for hyperbolic components intersecting the real line and the lines symmetric to the real line by the rotational symmetry of $\mathcal{M}^*$. For real ones, umbilical cords are straight segments and trivially land at a point.

Hubbard-Schleicher [HS14] (see also Nakane-Schleicher [NS96]) proved that in fact the umbilical cords do not land under an assumption called OPPPP (Odd Period Prime Principal Parabolic). Note that it is conjectured that the Mandelbrot set is locally connected, hence path connected. In fact, it is known that any two Yoccoz parameters (i.e., at most finitely renormalizable parameters) in the Mandelbrot set can be connected by an arc in the Mandelbrot set [Sch99, Theorem 5.6] (see also Petersen and Roesch [PR08]). Therefore, the umbilical cord always exists and converges to a point for any hyperbolic component of the Mandelbrot set.

The non-landing phenomena of umbilical cords strongly suggests that "little tricorns" are not actually homeomorphic to the tricorn itself; although the umbilical cords on the real line land, the corresponding umbilical cords in "little tricorns" do not lie in the real line and its symmetries, hence they seems to oscillate.

The landing property of an umbilical cord is related to real-analyticity of (a subtree of) the *parabolic Hubbard tree* in the dynamical plane for the landing parameter. Therefore, if there is a periodic point with non-real multiplier on the parabolic Hubbard tree, then it cannot be real-analytic, hence the umbilical cord does not land [HS14, Remark 6.4].

In this article, we check this property for a specific "little tricorn" using rigorous numerical computation and show the following:

Theorem 1.1. *There is a baby tricorn-like set whose straightening map is not continuous.*

The precise definition of baby tricorn-like sets and their straightening maps are given in Section 4. Straightening maps can be considered as a restriction of those

defined for the family of quartic (or biquadratic) polynomials in [IK12]. See Appendix A for more details.

More precisely, what we prove is the following: Let $c_* \in \mathbb{R}$ be the airplane parameter, i.e., the critical point 0 is periodic of period three for f_{c_*}. Consider the baby tricorn-like set $\mathcal{C}(c_*)$ centered at c_* and its straightening map $\chi_{c_*} : \mathcal{C}(c_*) \to \mathcal{M}^*$ (precise definition is given in §4). Let $c_{**} = \chi^{-1}(\omega^2 c_*)$. Then c_{**} is the center of a period 9 hyperbolic component $\mathcal{H}_{**} \subset \mathcal{C}(c_*)$. We prove that the umbilical cord for $\mathcal{H}_{**}$ does not land at a point (see Figure 2) by rigorous numerical computation. On the contrary, $\chi_{c_*}(\mathcal{H}_{**}) = \omega^2\mathcal{H}_*$ and the umbilical cord for $\omega^2\mathcal{H}_*$ do land trivially (it is just a segment in $\omega^2\mathbb{R}$). We also prove that $\mathcal{M}^* \cap \omega^2\mathbb{R}$ is contained in the image $\chi_{c_*}(\mathcal{C}(c_*))$, hence it follows that χ_{c_*} is not continuous.



FIGURE 2. $\mathcal{H}_{**}$ and its umbilical cord.

In the successive article with Mukherjee [IM15], we give a non-computer-assisted proof of non-landingness of "umbilical cords" for any non-real hyperbolic component in the family of unicritical anti-holomorphic polynomials $\bar{z}^d + c$ of degree $d \geq 2$ (the *multicorn family*). In particular, the theorem holds for any "multicorn-like set" of even degree. Note that when the degree d is odd, this implies that there is no landing "umbilical cord", hence we cannot conclude discontinuity of straightening maps from this result.

The author already proved discontinuity of straightening maps in [Ino0903] under more general setting. However, its proof is by contradiction, so although it is constructive, it is difficult to point out at which parameter a given straightening map (or its inverse) is actually not continuous. Moreover, the proof needs perturbations in two complex dimensional parameter space. So it is quite difficult to get a numerical picture of such discontinuity from this proof. On the other hand, the tricorn is easy to draw and see what is happening at such a discontinuous parameter.

Numerical pictures also suggests that decorations attached to umbilical cords and hyperbolic components of double period also cause such discontinuity; indeed, one can also find a hyperbolic component of odd period whose boundary is *not accessible* from $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{M}^*$ (Figure 3).

Hence it is very unlikely that any given two baby tricorn-like sets have the same topological property for decorations of each pair of corresponding hyperbolic components of odd period:



FIGURE 3. An example of inaccessible hyperbolic component.

Conjecture 1.2. *Any two baby tricorn-like sets are not homeomorphic unless they are symmetric.*

One may also consider baby tricorn-like sets in other families, such as the real cubic family and the cubic antipodal-preserving rational family (which is recently studied by Bonifant, Buff and Milnor [BBM]).

The author would thank Shigehiro Ushiki and Zin Arai for helpful discussions and comments. He would also thank Wolf Jung and Sabyasachi Mukherjee for helpful comments.

2. TRICORN

Consider the following family of anti-holomorphic polynomials:

$$f_c(z) = \bar{z}^2 + c.$$

Observe that the second iterate $f_c^2(z) = (z^2 + \bar{c})^2 + c$ forms a real-analytic two-parameter family of holomorphic dynamics. Hence as in the case of holomorphic dynamics, one can consider the *filled Julia set* K_c and the *Julia set* for f_c as follows:

$$K_c = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \{f_c^n(z)\}\text{: bounded}\}, \quad J_c = \partial K_c.$$

The critical point of f_c^2 is 0 and $f_c^{-1}(0) = \pm\sqrt{\bar{c}}$, hence K_c and J_c are connected if and only if the orbit of 0 is bounded (by f_c). The connectedness locus of this

family is called the *tricorn* and denoted by $\mathcal{M}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^* &= \{c \in \mathbb{C};\ K_c\text{: connected}\}\\ &= \{c \in \mathbb{C};\ \{f_c^n(c)\}_{n\geq 0}\text{: bounded}\}.\end{aligned}$$

We denote the open disk of radius $r > 0$ centered at z by $\mathbb{D}(r, z)$ and its closure by $\bar{\mathbb{D}}(r, z)$. We simply denote them by $\mathbb{D}(r)$ and $\bar{\mathbb{D}}(r)$ when $z = 0$ and we also denote $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}(1)$.

Lemma 2.1. $\mathcal{M}^* \subset \bar{\mathbb{D}}(2)$.

See [CHRSC89, Theorem 2].

Lemma 2.2. *For any $c \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}(2)$ (in particular for $c \in \mathcal{M}^*$), we have $K_c \subset \bar{\mathbb{D}}(2)$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $|z| > 2 + \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}|f_c(z)| > |z|^2 - |c| \geq |z|^2 - 2 = |z|(|z| - \frac{2}{|z|}) > |z|(2 + \varepsilon - 1)\\ = |z|(1 + \varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $|f_c^n(z)| \to \infty$. □

For a periodic point x of odd period p, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}(f_c^p)(x)$ is not a conformal invariant. Hence it is natural to define the *multiplier* of x as $(f_c^{2p})'(z)$ (the multiplier as a periodic point of f_c^2). Then it is easy to see the following:

Lemma 2.3. *A periodic point of odd period has real non-negative multiplier.*

See [NS03].

The second iterate f_c^2 has four fixed points counted with multiplicity. Hence f_c has at most four fixed points. Indeed the following hold:

Lemma 2.4. *The number of fixed points for f_c, counted with multiplicity, is*

- *4 when f_c has an attracting or parabolic fixed point (equivalently, when c lies in the closure of the main hyperbolic component). In this case there is no period two cycle for f_c.*
- *2 otherwise. In this case f_c has a unique period two cycle.*

See [CHRSC89, Lemma 1].

Let $c_* = -1.7548...$ be the airplane parameter, i.e., the unique parameter $c < 0$ satisfying $f_c^3(0) = 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *There are exactly three $c \in \mathbb{C}$ for which 0 is a periodic point of exact period 3 for f_c. They are c_*, ωc_* and $\omega^2 c_*$ where $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}$.*

More generally, the number of hyperbolic components of a given period is counted in [MNS1404], but we give an elementary proof here for completeness.

Proof. Let $c_2 = f_c^3(0) = c^4 + 2c^2\bar{c} + c$ and let $s = c + \bar{c}$ and $t = c\bar{c} = |c|^2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}2\operatorname{Re} c_2 &= s^4 + (1 - 4t)s^2 + (1 + 2t)s + 2t^2 - 2t,\\ 2\operatorname{Im} c_2 &= (c - \bar{c})(s^3 - (s - 1)(1 + 2t)).\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now assume $c_2 = 0$.

Case I: $c - \bar{c} = 0$. Since c is real, one can consider $Q_c(z) = z^2 + c$ instead of f_c. Hence it follows that $c = 0$ or $c = c_*$.

Case II: $c - \bar{c} \neq 0$**.** Then we have $t = \frac{1}{2}\frac{s^3-s+1}{s-1}$. By substitution, we have

$$(s^3 - 3s + 3)(s^3 - 2s^2 + s - 1) = 0.$$

Case II-1: $s^3 - 3s + 3 = 0$**.** Then we have $t = 1$ and $s < -2$, hence it follows $|c| = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} c < -1$ and this is a contradiction.
Case II-2: $s^3 - 2s^2 + s - 1 = 0$**.** Since s is real, we have $s = -c_*$. Then we have $t = \frac{1}{2}\frac{s^3+(s^3-2s^2)}{s-1} = s^2$ and $c = \omega c_*, \omega^2 c_*$.

Therefore the solutions of (1) are $c = 0$, $\omega^k c_*$ $(k = 0, 1, 2)$. The case $c = 0$ corresponds to the case that 0 is a fixed point, hence the lemma follows. □

3. External rays and rational laminations

When K_c is connected, external rays for f_c are defined in a usual way; f_c^2 is a monic centered polynomial, hence the Böttcher coordinate $\varphi_c : \mathbb{C} \setminus K_c \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ for f_c^2 is defined, and indeed it satisfies

$$\varphi(f_c(z)) = (\overline{\varphi(z)})^2. \tag{2}$$

Let

$$R_c(\theta) = \varphi^{-1}(\{re^{2\pi i\theta};\ r \in (1, \infty)\})$$

be the *external ray* of angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for f_c. By the equation (2), we have $f_c(R_c(\theta)) = R_c(-2\theta)$.

Definition (Rational laminations)**.** Let $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and denote $m_d(\theta) = d\theta$. An equivalence relation λ on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is called a *d-invariant rational lamination* if the following hold:

(1) λ is closed in $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2$.
(2) Every equivalence class is finite.
(3) For any equivalence class A, $m_d(A)$ is also an equivalence class.
(4) $m_d : A \to m_d(A)$ is consecutive-preserving, i.e., if (θ_1, θ_2) is a component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$, Then $(d\theta_1, d\theta_2)$ is a component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus dA$.
(5) Equivalence classes are pairwise unlinked, i.e., for any λ-classes A and B, A is contained in a connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus B$.

A *real lamination* is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the same condition replacing $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Assume K_c is connected. The *rational lamination* $\lambda(f_c)$ for f_c is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that θ and θ' are equivalent if and only if $R_c(\theta)$ and $R_c(\theta')$ lands at the same point.

By the equation (2), $\lambda(f_c)$ is a (-2)-invariant rational lamination. More generally, for a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with connected Julia set, the rational lamination $\lambda(f)$ for f is defined in the same way and it is d-invariant if f is holomorphic, and $(-d)$-invariant if f is anti-holomorphic. Note that the topological degree of a degree d polynomial is d if it is holomorphic, and $-d$ if it is anti-holomorphic.

Definition (Unlinked classes and real extensions)**.** Let λ be a rational lamination. We say $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})/\mathbb{Z}$ is *λ-unlinked* if $\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}$ is unlinked with every λ-class. It is an equivalence relation and an equivalence class is called a *λ-unlinked class*.

Let $\bar{\lambda}$ denote the closure of λ in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $\hat{\lambda}$ denote the smallest equivalence relation on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ containing $\bar{\lambda}$. We call $\hat{\lambda}$ the *real extension* of λ.

The real extension is a d-invariant real lamination [Kiw01]. Its non-trivial equivalence classes are either λ-class or a finite λ-unlinked class.

Definition (Critical elements)**.** Let λ be a rational lamination. For a λ-class or a λ-unlinked class A, $m_d : A \to m_d(A)$ is $\delta(A)$-to-one for some $\delta(A) \in \mathbb{N}$. We call $\delta(A)$ the *degree* of A and we say A is *critical* if $\delta(A) > 1$. We call a critical element A *Julia critical element* if it is a λ-class or a finite λ-unlinked class, and *Fatou critical element* if it is an infinite λ-unlinked class.

We denote by $\mathrm{Crit}(\lambda)$ the set of critical elements for λ and the critical orbit by

$$CO(\lambda) = \{m_d^n(C);\ C \in \mathrm{Crit}(\lambda),\ n \geq 0\}.$$

Definition. A d-invariant real lamination is *hyperbolic* if for any λ-class A, $m_d : A \to A$ is one-to-one.

A d-invariant rational lamination is *hyperbolic* if its real extension is hyperbolic.

In other words, a rational lamination is hyperbolic if all critical elements are Fatou critical elements.

The following is proved in [Kiw01] (see [IK12, Theorem 5.17]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $|d| \geq 2$. For any hyperbolic d-invariant rational lamination, there exists a unique hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial f of degree $|d|$, holomorphic when $d > 0$ and anti-holomorphic when $d < 0$ such that $\lambda(f) = \lambda$.*

Since f is hyperbolic, the Julia set $J(f)$ is locally connected and $J(f) \simeq S^1/\hat{\lambda}$. Moreover, there is a one-to-one correspondence between bounded Fatou components of f and infinite λ-unlinked classes.

4. Renormalizations

Definition (Polynomial-like maps)**.** We say a map $g : U' \to U$ *polynomial-like* if

- $U' \Subset U$ are topological disks in $\mathbb{C}$.
- $g : U' \to U$ is holomorphic or anti-holomorphic, and proper.

The *filled Julia set* $K(g)$ and the *Julia set* $J(g)$ is defined as follows:

$$K(g) = \{z \in U';\ g^n(z) \in U'\ (n \in \mathbb{N})\}, \quad J(g) = \partial K(g).$$

A *quadratic-like mapping* is a polynomial-like mapping of degree 2.

Definition (Hybrid equivalence)**.** Two polynomial-like mappings $f : U' \to U$ and $g : V' \to V$ are *hybrid equivalent* if there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\varphi : U'' \to V''$ between neighborhoods U'' and V'' of $K(f)$ and $K(g)$ respectively, such that $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \varphi$ where both sides are defined and $\bar{\partial}\varphi \equiv 0$ almost everywhere in $K(f)$.

Theorem 4.1 (Straightening theorem)**.** *Any polynomial-like mappings $g : U' \to U$ is hybrid equivalent to a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic polynomial P of the same degree. Moreover, if $K(g)$ is connected, then P is unique up to affine conjugacy.*

See [DH85] and [HS14].

Definition (Renormalizations and straightenings)**.** We say f_c is *renormalizable* if there exist U', U and $n > 1$ such that $f_c^n : U' \to U$ is a quadratic-like mapping with connected filled Julia set.

Such a mapping $f_c^n : U' \to U$ is called a *renormalization* of f_c and n is called the *period* of it.

By the straightening theorem, there exists a monic centered holomorphic or anti-holomorphic quadratic polynomial P hybrid equivalent to $f_c^n : U' \to U$, up to affine conjugacy. We call P the *straightening* of it.

Take $c_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*$ such that 0 is periodic of period $n > 1$ by f_{c_0}. We call such c_0 simply a *center* (precisely speaking, it is the center of a hyperbolic component of $\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}^*$) and n the *period* for c_0. Let $\lambda_0 = \lambda(f_{c_0})$ be the rational lamination for f_{c_0}. Define the combinatorial renormalization locus $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ and the renormalization locus $\mathcal{R}(c_0)$ with combinatorics λ_0 as follows: Let

$$\mathcal{C}(c_0) = \{c \in \mathcal{M}^*;\ \lambda(f_c) \supset \lambda_0\}$$

(recall that a relation on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a subset of $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2$). Let $c \in \mathcal{C}(c_0)$. By definition, the external rays of λ_0-equivalent angles for f_c land at the same point. Hence those rays divide K_c into "*fibers*" (see Appendix B for the precise definition). Let K be the fiber containing the critical point. Then $f_c^n(K) = K$. We say f_c is c_0-*renormalizable* if there exists a (holomorphic or anti-holomorphic) quadratic-like restriction $f_c^n : U' \to U$ such that the filled Julia set is equal to K. Let

$$\mathcal{R}(c_0) = \{c \in \mathcal{C}(c_0);\ c_0\text{-renormalizable}\}.$$

We call such a renormalization a c_0-*renormalization*. (See the definition of λ_0-*renormalization* in [IK12] for the precise definition.) We call n the *renormalization period*.

In the following, we fix such c_0 (or a hyperbolic rational lamination $\lambda_0 = \lambda(f_{c_0})$). For $c \in \mathcal{R}(c_0)$, let P be the straightening of a c_0-renormalization of f_c. By the straightening theorem, P is well-defined. When the renormalization period n is even, then c_0-renormalization is holomorphic. Hence $P = Q_{c'}$ for a unique $c' \in \mathcal{M}$. When n is odd, the c_0-renormalization is anti-holomorphic, so $P = f_{c'}$ for some $c' \in \mathcal{M}^*$. In either case, we denote c' by $\chi_{c_0}(c)$ and let

$$\mathcal{M}(c_0) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M} & n\text{: even}, \\ \mathcal{M}^* & n\text{: odd}. \end{cases}$$

Although we have three choices for c' when the period is odd, we can naturally choose one by fixing an *internal marking* (for the precise definition, see Appendix A and [IK12]).

Definition. We call the map $\chi_{c_0} : \mathcal{R}(c_0) \to \mathcal{M}(c_0)$ above the *straightening map* for c_0.

We call $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ a *baby Mandelbrot-like set* if the renormalization period n is even, and a *baby tricorn-like set* if n is odd. If χ_{c_0} can be extended to a homeomorphism between $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ and $\mathcal{M}(c_0)$, then we call $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ a *baby Mandelbrot set* (resp. a *baby tricorn*) if the renormalization period is even (resp. odd).

As noted above, if the period n is odd, then $\omega\chi_{c_0}$ and $\omega^2\chi_{c_0}$ are also straightening maps (with different internal markings). In this paper, we always choose and fix one of those.

Definition. We call a center $c_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*$ (or f_{c_0}, λ_0) *primitive* if the closures of Fatou components for f_{c_0} are mutually disjoint.

By applying results in [IK12], we have the following:

Theorem 4.2 (Injectivity)**.** *$\chi_{c_0} : \mathcal{R}(c_0) \to \mathcal{M}(c_0)$ is injective.*

Theorem 4.3 (Onto hyperbolicity)**.** *The image of the straightening map $\chi_{c_0}(\mathcal{R}(c_0))$ contains all hyperbolic maps in $\mathcal{M}(c_0)$.*

Theorem 4.4 (Compactness)**.** *If c_0 is primitive, then we have $\mathcal{C}(c_0) = \mathcal{R}(c_0)$ and it is compact.*

Theorem 4.5 (Surjectivity)**.** *If c_0 is primitive and the renormalization period is even, then the corresponding straightening map $\chi_{c_0} : \mathcal{R}(c_0) \to \mathcal{M}$ is a homeomorphism.*

Theorem 4.5 shows that there are baby Mandelbrot sets in the tricorn. For example, there are infinitely many primitive centers of even period in $\mathcal{M}^* \cap \mathbb{R} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathbb{R}$. Surjectivity of straightening maps for (primitive) tricorn-like sets is still open.

How these theorems are obtained from more general results in [IK12] is explained in Appendix A.

Remark 4.6. Except for the case of Theorem 4.5, we do not know if $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ or $\mathcal{R}(c_0)$ are connected, even if we assume that c_0 is primitive.

As in the case of the Mandelbrot set, it is natural to consider $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ as a *fiber* by cutting parameter rays landing at the same point. Since each fiber is connected, this argument should prove $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ is connected. However, one should be aware that the parameter rays accumulating to hyperbolic components of odd period $p > 1$ does not land at a point [IM1406]. For example, the parameter rays of angle $3/7$ and $4/7$ for the Mandelbrot set land at the root of the airplane component and they divide the parameter plane into two. On the other hand, those rays for $\mathcal{M}^*$ do not land and that their accumulation sets are disjoint (see Figure 4). But they, together with the airplane component, still divide the plane into two components.

5. Baby tricorn-like sets

Lemma 5.1. *If the renormalization period is odd, then c_0 is primitive.*

Proof. If there are two distinct Fatou components U_1, U_2 for f_{c_0} such that $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2}$ is nonempty, then by taking the forward images, we may assume that U_1 and U_2 are periodic. Then the intersection consists of a repelling periodic point x of period k, which is less than the renormalization period n.

Since x is the "root" of U_1 (i.e., x disconnects the filled Julia set) and the period $n > 1$ is odd, we have $k = n$ by [NS03, Corollary 4.2], hence we have a contradiction. □

By Theorem 4.4, we have the following:

Corollary 5.2. *If the renormalization period is odd, then $\mathcal{C}(c_0) = \mathcal{R}(c_0)$ and it is compact.*

By a similar argument as in [IK12, §9], we have surjectivity onto the real connectedness locus.

Corollary 5.3. *If the renormalization period is odd, then the image $\chi_{c_0}(\mathcal{R}(c_0))$ of the straightening map contains the real connectedness locus $\mathcal{M}^* \cap \mathbb{R} = [-2, 1/4]$.*



FIGURE 4. The parameter ray of angle 3/7.

Proof. First note that $f_c^2 = Q_c^2$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, hence hyperbolic maps are dense on the real line by Graczyk-Świątek [GŚ97] and Lyubich [Lyu97].

By Theorem 4.3, the image contains those real hyperbolic parameters. Therefore for $c' \in \mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{M}^*$, we can take a sequence $c_n \in \mathcal{R}(c_0)$ satisfying $\chi_{c_0}(c_n) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \chi_{c_0}(c_n) \to c'$. Since $\mathcal{R}(c_0)$ is compact, we may assume that $c_n \to c$ for some $c \in \mathcal{R}(c_0)$. Let $c'' = \chi_{c_0}(c)$. Then $f_{c'}$ and $f_{c''}$ are quasiconformally conjugate [DH85, §II.7 Lemma]. Since $f_{c'}$ and $f_{c''}$ are conformally conjugate outside the filled

Julia sets, there exists some $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{R}(c_0)$ such that $\chi_{c_0}(\tilde{c}) = c'$ by [IK12, Lemma 9.2]. □

By Theorem 4.2, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, the following hold:

Lemma 5.4. *For $c \in \mathcal{R}(c_0)$, a renormalization $f_c^n : U' \to U$ of f_c has either*

- *four fixed points when the renormalization has an attracting or parabolic fixed point and no period two cycle;*
- *two fixed points and one period two cycle otherwise.*

Lemma 5.5. *There are exactly three polynomials in $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ for which c_0-renormalization has period three critical point.*

6. Umbilical cords and path connectivity

Hubbard-Schleicher [HS14] (see also Nakane-Schleicher [NS96]) showed that the tricorn is not path connected. Each hyperbolic component of odd period $p > 1$ seems to have an "umbilical cord", which connects it to the main hyperbolic component (the one containing the origin). They proved that such an umbilical cord, if exists, oscillates and does not converge to a point, under an assumption called OPPPP (Odd Period Prime Principal Parabolic).

In this section, we briefly review their results and apply them to our specific case.

6.1. Ecalle cylinders, equator and Ecalle heights.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume f_c has a simple parabolic periodic point z_0 of odd period p and let V be the parabolic basin of z_0. Then there exists an open set $U \subset V$ with $z_0 \in \partial U$ and a holomorphic map $\varphi : U \cap V \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

- *$\varphi(U \cap V)$ contains the half plane $\{\operatorname{Re} w > 0\}$;*
- *$\varphi \circ f_c^k \circ \varphi^{-1}(w) = \bar{w} + \frac{1}{2}$.*

The map φ is unique up to post-composition by translation by real constant.

See [HS14, Lemma 2.3].

The map φ is called an *attracting (incoming) Fatou coordinate* at z_0. It induces an isomorphism from the quotient $(V \cap U)/(f_c^{2k})$ to the cylinder $\{\operatorname{Re} w > 0\}/(w \mapsto w+1) = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$. The quotient space is called the *attracting (incoming) Ecalle cylinder* for z_0. The set of fixed points by the action of f_c on the Ecalle cylinder forms a closed geodesic corresponding to $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We call it the *equator*. For $w \in V$, there exists some $k \geq 0$ such that $f_c^{2k}(w) \in U$. The *Ecalle height* of w is defined by $\operatorname{Im} \varphi(f_c^{2k}(w))$. The Ecalle height of the critical point is called the *critical Ecalle height*.

Similarly, by replacing f_c by f_c^{-1}, one can consider an *repelling (outgoing) Fatou coordinate* and the *repelling Ecalle cylinder* for z_0.

6.2. Parabolic arcs.

Theorem 6.2. *The boundary of a hyperbolic component of odd period consists of three real-analytic curves, each of which is parametrized by the critical Ecalle height.*

Those arcs are called *parabolic arcs*. A parabolic arc is called a *root arc* if the parabolic orbit of f_c disconnects the Julia set for any parameter c on the arc, otherwise, it is called a *co-root* arc. Endpoints of parabolic arcs are called *cusps*.

Each hyperbolic component of odd period $p \geq 3$ has one root arc and two co-root arcs. By definition, if the period is one (i.e., for the main hyperbolic component), all parabolic arcs are symmetric and co-root.

6.3. **Parabolic Hubbard tree.**

Definition (Characteristic parabolic point)**.** Let f_c have a parabolic periodic point. The *characteristic parabolic point* is the unique parabolic point on the boundary of the critical value Fatou component.

Definition (Principal parabolic)**.** We say f_c (or simply c) is *principal parabolic* if f_c has a simple parabolic orbit of period greater than one and each point in the orbit has at least two periodic landing rays.

If f_c is principal parabolic and the period of the parabolic orbit is odd, then f_c is on a root-arc or its endpoints.

Definition (Parabolic Hubbard tree)**.** Assume f_c is principal parabolic. A *parabolic Hubbard tree*, or a *parabolic tree* for simplicity, of f_c is a minimal tree contained in K_c and connecting the parabolic orbit and the critical orbit, so that the intersection with the critical value Fatou component is a simple f_c^p-invariant curve connecting the critical value to the parabolic point on the boundary, and its intersection with any Fatou component is the backward image of the curve in the critical value component.

A parabolic tree is not unique; it is unique in the Julia set, but one can take any essential closed curve in the Ecalle cylinder passing the critical value and then lift it and take forward and backward images) to construct a parabolic Hubbard tree. Hence any two parabolic Hubbard trees are homotopic rel J_c. Sometimes it is called a *loose* parabolic tree to emphasize the non-uniqueness.

Lemma 6.3. *Let f_c be principal parabolic with odd period $p > 1$ and let x be the characteristic parabolic point of period p for f_c. Then*

1. *x is the landing point of exactly two rays of period $2p$.*
2. *Any parabolic tree of f_c contains an arc such that it converges to x and does not intersect the critical value Fatou component.*

One can find a proof of (2) for holomorphic quadratic polynomials in Eberlein's thesis [Ebe99], which can be applied to our case. Hence we give an outline of the proof here.

Proof. For the first part, see [NS03, Corollary 4.2].

For the second, observe that all the end points of a given parabolic tree are contained in $\{0, f_c(0), \dots, f_c^{p-1}(0)\}$. Therefore, $f_c^k(0)$ is an endpoint for some $0 < k < p$. Since a parabolic tree is forward invariant and f_c^{k-1} is one-to-one near $f_c(0)$, $f_c(0)$ is also an endpoint.

By forward invariance, the intersection with a given parabolic tree and the boundary of the critical value component is a fixed point of f_c^p which disconnects the Julia set. Hence it follows that it must be equal to x. □

By primitivity, the intersection of such an arc and the Julia set is a Cantor set. By the lemma, a parabolic tree corresponds to a simple closed curve in the repelling Ecalle cylinder for x, which we call a *Hubbard loop*.

6.4. **Real analyticity of parabolic trees and path connectivity of the tricorn.** Most part of the proof of non-path connectivity of multicorns in [HS14] works not only for OPPPP case, but also in general. We apply their results only to the case explained in the introduction; i.e., for the parameter of critical Ecalle height zero in the root arc of $\mathcal{H}_{**}$. Although some proofs become simpler for this case, we state and prove in general.

The following follows by the same argument of the first part of the proof of [HS14, Lemma 5.8]:

Lemma 6.4. *Let $c \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be on the parabolic root arc of a hyperbolic component of odd period p. If $K(f_c)$ contains an analytic arc γ connecting two bounded Fatou components, then there exists an analytic arc γ' such that*

(1) $\gamma' \subset \bigcup_{n\geq 0} f_c^n(\gamma)$.
(2) *there exists a parabolic tree which contains γ'.*
(3) *γ' is invariant by f_c^p.*
(4) *γ' contains the characteristic parabolic point.*

Corollary 6.5. *Under the assumptions and the notations in the lemma, let $q|p$ be the smallest integer such that γ' is invariant by f_c^q. Then q is strictly smaller than p. Moreover if $q > 1$, then f_c is renormalizable of period q. The period q renormalization has an unbranched and analytic parabolic tree contained in γ'.*

Proof. If γ' is a loose parabolic Hubbard tree for f_c, then $q = 1$ and we are done.

Otherwise, we can apply Theorem B.2 and it follows that $q > 1$ and f_c is renormalizable of period q. The renormalization has a loose parabolic Hubbard tree contained in an analytic arc γ'.

Moreover, since γ' intersects two Fatou components, we have $q < p$. □

The following theorem follows by the proofs of [HS14, Lemma 5.10, Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.3]:

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the hyperbolic component of odd period and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \partial\mathcal{H}$ be the parabolic root arc. Let $c \in \mathcal{A}$ be a parameter with zero critical Ecalle height. Let T be its Hubbard tree for f_c, x be the characteristic parabolic point and θ, θ' be the landing angles for x.*

If the Hubbard loop is not equal to the equator, then there exist $h > 0$ and $\theta_n, \theta'_n, \tilde{\theta}_n, \tilde{\theta}'_n \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that

- *$\theta_n, \tilde{\theta}_n \to \theta$ and $\theta'_n, \tilde{\theta}'_n \to \theta'$.*
- *The dynamical rays $R_c(\theta_n)$ and $R_c(\theta'_n)$ land at w_n of Ecalle height h, and $R_c(\tilde{\theta}_n)$ and $R_c(\tilde{\theta}'_n)$ land at $\tilde{w}_n$ of Ecalle height $-h$. Both w_n and $\tilde{w}_n$ are in the Hubbard tree and repelling preperiodic.*
- *There exists an arc $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ of positive length such that the limits of parameter rays $R_{\mathcal{M}^*}(\tilde{\theta}_n)$ and $R_{\mathcal{M}^*}(\theta'_n)$ contains $\mathcal{A}'$.*

In particular, consider any arc γ in $\mathcal{M}^*$ accumulating $\mathcal{A}$, contained in the component of the complement of $R_{\mathcal{M}^*}(\theta) \cup R_{\mathcal{M}^*}(\theta') \cup \mathcal{A}$ not containing $\mathcal{H}$. Then γ accumulates all points in $\mathcal{A}'$ and does not converge to a point.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the hyperbolic component of odd period $p > 1$ and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \partial\mathcal{H}$ be the parabolic root arc. Let $c \in \mathcal{A}$ be the parameter with zero critical Ecalle*

height. Assume f_c has a renormalization $g = f_c^n|_{U'} : U' \to U$ with maximal $n < p$. Let T be a parabolic tree and $T' \subset T$ be a parabolic tree for the renormalization.

If T' contains a periodic point y of non-real multiplier, then the conclusion of Theorem 6.6 holds.

Note that y is repelling because the only non-repelling cycle is the parabolic cycle, so it is of multiplier one, and that parabolic trees are uniquely determined on the Julia set, hence the assumption does not depend on the choice of parabolic trees. See also the remark after [HS14, Theorem 6.2].

Proof. Let $\gamma \subset T'$ be an subarc converging to the principal parabolic point x contained in the domain of definition of the repelling Fatou coordinate at x. Then γ corresponds to the Hubbard loop in the Ecalle cylinder. Observe that γ intersects infinitely many (in particular two) Fatou components, since $\gamma \cap J_c$ is a Cantor set.

Now assume that the Hubbard loop coincides with the equator. Then we can apply Lemma 6.4 to a subarc of γ. Let γ' be the arc in the Lemma. Then by Corollary 6.5 and the maximality of the period of the renormalization g, it follows that $y \in T' \cap J(f) \subset \gamma'$. In particular, $y \in \bigcup_{n\geq 0} f_c^n(\gamma)$. However, by assumption, parabolic tree is not analytic at y, hence it is a contradiction.

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 6.6. □

6.5. **Discontinuous straightening map.** Combining those results with Corollary 5.3, we can prove discontinuity of straightening maps as follows. Let $\mathcal{H}_* \subset \operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}^*$ be the hyperbolic component containing c_*. Let $c'_* = -1.75 = \sup(\mathcal{H}_* \cap \mathbb{R})$ be the landing point of the umbilical cord for $\mathcal{H}_*$.

Theorem 6.8. *Let c_0 be a parameter with periodic critical point of odd period $p > 1$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \chi_{c_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_*)$ be the hyperbolic component in $\mathcal{C}(c_0) = \mathcal{R}(c_0)$ corresponding to the airplane component. Let $b = \chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c'_*) \in \partial\mathcal{H}$ be the unique parameter on the parabolic root arc with critical Ecalle height zero.*

If the (unique) period two cycle of a c_0-renormalization $g = f_b^p|_{U'} : U' \to U$ for f_b has non-real multiplier, then $\chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c)$ converge to an arc of positive length in the parabolic root arc $\mathcal{A}$ in $\partial\mathcal{H}$ as $c \searrow c'_*$. In particular, χ_{c_0} is not continuous.

Note that c'_* is the parameter on the parabolic root arc in $\partial\mathcal{H}_*$ with critical Ecalle height zero, hence $\chi_{c_0}(c'_0) = c'_*$ because hybrid conjugacy preserves the critical Ecalle height. Also, recall that $\chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c') \in \mathcal{M}^*$ is well-defined for $c' \in \mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{M}^* = [-2, 1/4]$ by Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 5.3.

Proof. The Hubbard tree for $f_{c'_*}$ is an interval $[-1.75, 1.3125]$, which contains the unique period two cycle for $f_{c'_*}$. Hence by assumption, we can apply Corollary 6.7.

On the other hand, assume $c_n \searrow c'_*$ and $b_n = \chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c_n) \to \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{C}(c_0)$. Let us denote $\tilde{c} = \chi_{c_0}(\tilde{b})$. Then $f_{c'_*}$ and $f_{\tilde{c}}$ are quasiconformally conjugate. Hence f_b and $f_{\tilde{b}}$ are also quasiconformally conjugate by [IK12, Lemma 9.2]. Therefore, $\tilde{b}$ lies in $\mathcal{A}$ and it follows that $\chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c) \to \mathcal{A}$ as $c \searrow c'_*$. By Corollary 6.7, $\chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c)$ has no limit as $c \searrow c'_1$.

Since both $\mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ are compact and χ_{c_0} is injective, χ_{c_0} is a homeomorphism onto its image if it is continuous. Therefore χ_{c_0} is not continuous. □

By the theorem, it is easy to find discontinuous points of χ and χ^{-1}:

Corollary 6.9. *Under the assumption of the Theorem, we have the following:*

- $\chi_{c_0}^{-1}$ *is not continuous at* $c'_* = -1.75$.
- χ_{c_0} *is not continuous at any point in the parabolic root arc with sufficiently small but non-zero Ecalle height. More precisely, at any point in the accumulation set of the umbilical cord, i.e., any point in*

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \overline{\chi_{c_0}^{-1}((c'_*, c'_* + \varepsilon))},$$

(possibly) except c_1.

We have not proved discontinuity of χ_{c_0} at $b = \chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c'_*)$. However, decorations attached to the umbilical cord also accumulate to the parabolic arc, hence it is likely that χ_{c_0} is not continuous also at b.

Notice that by the symmetry of $\mathcal{M}^*$, the same statement holds for $\omega c'_*$ and $\omega^2 c'_*$ (equivalently, we can apply Theorem 6.8 to the other straightening maps $\omega\chi_{c_0}$ and $\omega^2\chi_{c_0}$ with different internal markings).

7. Rigorous numerical computations

In this section, we consider the case $c_0 = c_*$ and show by rigorous numerical computation that we can apply Theorem 6.8, and it follows that χ_{c_*} not continuous near $b = \chi_{c_*}^{-1}(\omega^2 c'_*)$. Note that $\chi_{c_*}^{-1}(c'_*) \in \mathbb{R}$ and the umbilical cord lands there. Rigorous computation is done with the CAPD library (`http://capd.ii.uj.edu.pl/`). The program can be downloaded from the author's web page (`http://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~inou/`).

In the following, we naturally identify $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Let $R = [-1.73875, -1.73825] \times [0.01555, 0.01605] \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let* $U = (-0.3, 0.3)^2$. *For any* $c \in R$, *there exists an anti-holomorphic quadratic-like mapping* $g_c = f_c^3|_{U'_c} : U'_c \to U$.

Figure 5 shows the rigorous numerical result for this lemma.

Precisely speaking, the program checks if the image of ∂U for a given rectangle U intersects ∂U. Each box in Figure 5 is colored in green if there is an intersection for any parameters, in cyan if there is no intersection, in blue if undetermined. Therefore, if a component $\mathcal{U}$ of the cyan region contains a renormalizable parameter, then for all parameter $c \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists a component $U'_c \subset U$ of $f_c^{-3}(U)$ such that $f_c^3 : U'_c \to U$ is a proper map of degree two.

A lower and upper estimate of a hyperbolic component can be done as follows: The argument principle allows us to verify that there exists a unique periodic point of period p in a given region (compare Lemma 7.3). Then it suffices to check that for any box B in the region, either $f^p(B)$ does not intersect B or $(f^p)'(B)$ is contained in the unit disk.

Lemma 7.2. *There exists a unique hyperbolic component* $\mathcal{H}_9$ *of period 9 contained in* $R \cap \mathcal{R}(c_0)$.

Figure 6 shows the position of $\mathcal{H}_9$. More precisely, there is no parabolic fixed point of multiplier one for f_c^9 in the cyan region. Therefore, it follows from the figure that the hyperbolic component $\mathcal{H}_9$ is contained in the union of blue region and the bounded component of the complement.

Precisely speaking, one also has to check that there is a period 9 attracting cycle for some parameter in the bounded cyan region, and there is no period 9 attracting



FIGURE 5. The existence of quadratic-like restriction of $f_c^3 : U_c' \to U$. The existence is guaranteed in the cyan region. The second picture shows that the existence of quadratic-like restriction holds for all parameters in that region.



FIGURE 6. A period 9 hyperbolic component. Parabolic arcs are contained in the blue region.

cycle for some parameter in the unbounded cyan region (and this is done rigorously for $\mathcal{H}_9$).

Lemma 7.3. *For any $c \in R$, there exists a unique fixed point x_c of f_c^6 in $[0, 0.08]^2$ contained in the filled Julia set of g_c.*

Figure 7 shows the rigorous numerical result for this lemma. The number of fixed points in a given region R can be checked by the argument principle. Namely, it suffices to check if the rigorously estimated value of

$$\int_{\partial R} \frac{(f_c^6)'(z) - 1}{f_c^6(z) - z} dz$$

contains $2\pi i$ and does not contain 0 and $4\pi i$ (note that the computational result is given by a box, hence it is convex).

As in the case of checking renormalizability for a specific parameter, it is easy to check a condition on a multiplier of the unique periodic point whose existence is guaranteed by the above lemma:

Lemma 7.4. *Let $C = \{c \in R;\ x_c$ has real multiplier for $f_c\}$. Then $C \cap \partial\mathcal{H}_9 = \emptyset$.*

Figure 8 shows that C and $\partial\mathcal{H}_9$ do not intersect (compare Figure 2).

In particular, the assumption of Theorem 6.8 is satisfied on the parabolic root arc $\mathcal{A}$ in $\partial\mathcal{H}_9$. Therefore, we have the following.

Corollary 7.5. *The straightening map $\chi_{c_*} : \mathcal{C}(c_*) \to \mathcal{M}^*$ is not continuous.*

More precisely, let b be the unique parameter on the root arc $\mathcal{A} \subset \partial\mathcal{H}_9$ of critical Ecalle height zero. Then there exists a subarc $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ containing b of positive length



FIGURE 7. The region existing a unique fixed point in $[0, 0.08]^2$. The unique existence holds in the green region, does not hold in the cyan region, and undetermined in the blue region. The second picture shows that the unique existence holds for all parameters in that region.



FIGURE 8. The real multiplier locus C (contained in the yellow region) and the parabolic arcs $\partial\mathcal{H}_9$ (contained in the red region).

such that χ_{c_*} is not continuous at any point in $\mathcal{A}' \setminus \{b\}$, and $\chi_{c_*}^{-1}$ is not continuous at $\chi_{c_*}(b) = \omega^2 c'_*$.

By this corollary, it follows that at least "half" of baby tricorn-like sets are not baby tricorns.

Corollary 7.6. *For any baby tricorn-like set $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$, let $c_1 = \chi_{c_0}^{-1}(c_*)$. Then either $\mathcal{C}(c_0)$ or $\mathcal{C}(c_1)$ is not a baby tricorn.*

Proof. Let $L = \chi_{c_1}^{-1}(\omega\cdot(-1.75, 0])$. Then L is the "umbilical cord" for the hyperbolic component $\mathcal{H}$ containing $c_2 = \chi_{c_1}^{-1}(\omega c_*)$ where $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}$ is a cubic root of unity. If it converge to a point, then χ_{c_0} is not a homeomorphism because the corresponding "umbilical cord" by χ_{c_0} does not converge by the previous corollary.

Otherwise, χ_{c_1} is not a homeomorphism because the corresponding umbilical cord by χ_{c_1} is a real segment, which converges to -1.75. □

APPENDIX A. STRAIGHTENING MAPS

Here we briefly describe how the notions in this paper corresponds to the notions in [IK12] and how the results there are applied to our case.

Let $g_c = f_c^2$. This gives a natural embedding of the tricorn family $\mathbb{C} \cong \{f_c\}_{c\in\mathbb{C}}$ into the family of monic centered quartic polynomials Poly(4) with connectedness locus $\mathcal{C}(4)$. For c_0 as above, g_{c_0} is a hyperbolic post-critically hyperbolic quartic polynomial with rational lamination $\lambda(g_{c_0}) = \lambda_0$. The combinatorial renormalization locus $\mathcal{C}(\lambda_0) = \{g \in \text{Poly}(4);\ \lambda(g) \supset \lambda_0\}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) = \{g \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_0);\ \lambda_0\text{-renormalizable}\}$ satisfies $\mathcal{C}(c_0) = \mathcal{C}(\lambda_0) \cap \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{R}(c_0) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, the straightening map $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \to \mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0))$, where $\mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0))$ is the fiberwise

connectedness locus of the family of monic centered polynomial map over the mapping schema $T(\lambda_0)$ of λ_0.

We describe what $\mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0))$ is in our case. Let $c \in \mathcal{R}(c_0)$. When the renormalization period n is even, the c_0-renormalization $f_c^n : U' \to U$ yields two quadratic-like maps for g_c, i.e., $g_c^{n/2} : U' \to U$ and $g_c^{n/2} : f_c(U') \to f_c(U)$ (by shrinking U' and U if necessary). Observe that the second is anti-holomorphically conjugate to the first by f_c^{n-1} near the filled Julia set. Therefore, as a λ_0-renormalization for g_c, we have two quadratic-like maps which are anti-holomorphically equivalent. Therefore, the straightening of those are $P_{c'}$ and $P_{\bar{c'}}$, where $c' = \chi_{c_0}(c)$. In this case, $\mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0)) \cong \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ and $\chi_{\lambda_0}(g_c) = (c', \bar{c'})$. In other words, χ_{c_0} is the following composition:

$$\mathcal{R}(c_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\lambda_0}} \mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0)) \supset \mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0)) \cap \{(c', \bar{c'});\ c' \in \mathbb{C}\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}.$$

When n is odd, then $g_c^n : U' \to g_c^n(U') = f_c^n(U)$ is a quartic-like map (similarly by shrinking U' and U if necessary). More precisely, g_c^n is divided into a composition of two degree two maps, namely, $g_c^{\frac{n-1}{2}} : U' \to f_c^{n-1}(U')$ and $g_c^{\frac{n+1}{2}} : f_c^{n-1}(U') \to g_c^n(U')$. Hence it follows that the straightening is a biquadratic polynomial (i.e., a composition of two quadratic polynomials). By definition, $\mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0))$ is the fiberwise connectedness locus of the biquadratic family

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Poly}(2 \times 2) &= \{P : \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{C} \circlearrowleft;\ P(k,z) = (1-k, P_k(z)),\ P_0, P_1 \in \mathrm{Poly}(2)\} \\ &\cong \{(Q_{c_0}, Q_{c_1});\ c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathbb{C}^2.\end{aligned}$$

We also identify $P \in \mathrm{Poly}(2 \times 2)$ with a quartic polynomial $R_P(z) = Q_{c_1} \circ Q_{c_0}$ (the second iterate restricted to the first complex plane $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$). Let $P = (P_0, P_1) = \chi_{\lambda_0}(g_c) \in \mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0))$. Observe that

$$g_c^n : U' \to g_c^n(U'), \quad g_c^n : f_c^{n-1}(U') \to f_c^{2n-1}(U')$$

are anti-holomorphically conjugate by f_c^{n-1}. Hence it follows that their straightenings are anti-holomorphically conjugate, more precisely, we have $(P_1, P_0) = \bar{P} = (\bar{P_0}, \bar{P_1})$. Therefore, $P \in \{(P_0, \bar{P_0}) \in \mathrm{Poly}(2 \times 2)\} \cong \mathbb{C}$. When $P_0 = Q_{\bar{c'}}$, then $P^2(0,z) = (0, (z^2 + \bar{c'})^2 + c') = (0, f_{c'}^2(z))$. In other words, by the identification $(0,z) \sim (1, \bar{z})$ in $\{0,1\} \times \mathbb{C}$, P is semiconjugate to $f_{c'}$ where $c' = \chi_{c_0}(c)$. Therefore in this case, χ_{c_0} is the following composition:

$$\mathcal{R}(c_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\lambda_0}} \mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0)) \supset \mathcal{C}(T(\lambda_0)) \cap \{(c', \bar{c'});\ c' \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathcal{M}^*.$$

With this in mind, Theorems 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 are direct consequences of Theorem B, C, D and F in [IK12] respectively.

Appendix B. Hubbard tree and renormalizability

Here we discuss (combinatorial) renormalizability and existence of an invariant Hubbard subtree. Before describing the result, we recall the notion of *fiber* [IK12]:

Definition. Let c_0 be a center and $\lambda = \lambda(f_{c_0})$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(c_0)$ and a λ-unlinked class L, define the *fiber* $K_f(L)$ as follows:

$$K_f(L) = K(f) \cap \bigcup_{\theta \sim_\lambda \theta',\ \theta \neq \theta'} \overline{\mathrm{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; L)},$$

where $\mathrm{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; L)$ is a component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{R_f(\theta) \cup R_f(\theta')})$ containing $R_f(\theta'')$ for $\theta'' \in L$.

Theorem B.1. *Let $c \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be principal parabolic and let $H \subset K(f_c)$ be its parabolic Hubbard tree.*

Assume there exist $q > 0$ and a non-trivial subtree $H' \subset H$ such that

- *$(f^j(H');\ j = 1, \dots, q)$ are mutually disjoint.*
- *$0 \in H'$.*
- *For each $0 \le i, j < q$ with $i \neq j$, there exists $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $R_f(\theta)$ and $R_f(\theta')$ land at the same point and the closure of each component $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{R_f(\theta) \cup R_f(\theta')}$ contains exactly one of $f^i(H')$ and $f^j(H')$.*

Then there exists a center $c_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*$ such that $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda(f_{c_0}))$ and the (unique) critical λ-unlinked class L satisfies $H' \subset K_{f_c}(L)$.

Proof. Define an equivalence relation $\lambda \subset \lambda(f)$ as follows: Two angles $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ are equivalent if they are $\lambda(f)$-equivalent and for any $n \ge 0$, $\gamma_n = \overline{R_f(d^n\theta) \cup R_f(d^n\theta')}$ does not "cut" any H_v; more precisely, each H_v is contained in the closure of some component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma_n$.

Since f is parabolic, $\lambda(f)$ is hyperbolic, so there is no critical $\lambda(f)$-class. In particular, for every $\lambda(f)$-class A, $m_d : A \to m_d(A)$ is a cyclic order preserving bijection and at the landing point of the rays of angles in A, f is locally a homeomorphism. Thus it is straightforward to show that λ is a hyperbolic d-invariant rational lamination. Moreover, for each $v \in |T|$, there exists a λ-unlinked class L_v such that the corresponding fiber $K_f(L_v)$ contains H_v. □

Theorem B.2. *Let $c \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be principal parabolic of odd period $p > 1$. Assume there exist $q \ge 1$ and a subtree H' of a parabolic tree H such that*

- *$f_c^q(H') = H'$.*
- *$f_c^i(H') \cap f_c^j(H') = \emptyset$ for $0 \le i, j < q$, $i \neq j$.*
- *$0 \in H'$ and $f_c(0) \subset f(H')$ contains the characteristic parabolic point.*

Then there exists an anti-holomorphic renormalization $f_c^q : U' \to U$ of period q such that $H' \subset K(f_c^q : U' \to U)$ and H' contains a parabolic tree of the renormalization.

In particular, the filled Julia set $K(f_c^q : U' \to U)$ is equal to the closure of the Fatou component containing 0 if and only if $p = q$. Also, we have $H' = H$ if and only if $q = 1$.

Proof. First observe that the assumption of the Theorem B.1 holds for $(f_c^j(H');\ j = 0, \dots, q-1)$; otherwise, $f_c^i(H')$ and $f_c^j(H')$ intersects the same Fatou component. Then both $f_c^i(H')$ and $f_c^j(H')$ contains the parabolic periodic point on the boundary by invariance, hence they intersect.

By Theorem B.1, there exists a rational lamination $\lambda \subset \lambda(f_c)$ such that the critical λ-unlinked class L satisfies $H' \subset K_{f_c}(L)$ and $L, m_{-2}(L), \dots, m_{-2}^{q-1}(L)$ are mutually disjoint.

Since $q|p$, q is odd. Hence by Kiwi's lemma (Lemma 3.1) and Lemma 5.1, $\lambda = \lambda(f_{c_0})$ for some c_0 and it is primitive. By Theorem 4.4, we have $\mathcal{C}(c_0) = \mathcal{R}(c_0)$. Thus f_c is renormalizable of period q. Since $H', \dots, f_c^{q-1}(H')$ intersect all the periodic bounded Fatou components, parabolic cycle and the critical orbit, H' contains a parabolic Hubbard tree. □

References

[BBM] Araceli Bonifant, Xavier Buff, and John Milnor, *On antipode preserving cubic maps.* In preparation.

[CHRSC89] W. D. Crowe, R. Hasson, P. J. Rippon, and P. E. D. Strain-Clark, *On the structure of the Mandelbar set*, Nonlinearity **2** (1989), no. 4, 541–553. MR1020441 (91c:58072)

[DH85] Adrien Douady and John Hamal Hubbard, *On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985), no. 2, 287–343. MR816367 (87f:58083)

[Ebe99] Dominik Eberlein, *Rational parameter rays of multibrot sets.*, Ph.D. Thesis, München, 1999.

[GŚ97] Jacek Graczyk and Grzegorz Światek, *Generic hyperbolicity in the logistic family*, Ann. of Math. (2) **146** (1997), no. 1, 1–52. MR1469316 (99b:58079)

[Haï00] Peter Haïssinsky, *Modulation dans l'ensemble de Mandelbrot*, The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations, 2000, pp. 37–65. MR1765084 (2002c:37067)

[HS14] John Hubbard and Dierk Schleicher, *Multicorns are not path connected*, Frontiers in complex dynamics: In celebration of john milnor's 80th birthday, February 2014.

[IK12] Hiroyuki Inou and Jan Kiwi, *Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps, I: Compactness and bijectivity*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 5, 2666–2733. MR2970463

[IM1406] Hiroyuki Inou and Sabyasachi Mukherjee, *Non-landing parameter rays of the multicorns* (201406), available at `arXiv:1406.3428`.

[IM15] ———, *Discontinuity of straightening in antiholomorphic dynamics*, 2015. manuscript.

[Ino0903] Hiroyuki Inou, *Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps II: Discontinuity* (200903), available at `arXiv:0903.4289`.

[Kiw01] Jan Kiwi, *Rational laminations of complex polynomials*, Laminations and foliations in dynamics, geometry and topology (Stony Brook, NY, 1998), 2001, pp. 111–154. MR1810538 (2002e:37063)

[Lyu97] Mikhail Lyubich, *Dynamics of quadratic polynomials. I, II*, Acta Math. **178** (1997), no. 2, 185–247, 247–297. MR1459261 (98e:58145)

[Mil92] John Milnor, *Remarks on iterated cubic maps*, Experiment. Math. **1** (1992), no. 1, 5–24. MR1181083 (94c:58096)

[MNS1404] Sabyasachi Mukherjee, Shizuo Nakane, and Dierk Schleicher, *On multicorns and unicorns II: Bifurcations in spaces of antiholomorphic polynomials* (201404), available at `arXiv:1404.5031`.

[Nak93] Shizuo Nakane, *Connectedness of the tricorn*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **13** (1993), no. 2, 349–356. MR1235477 (94k:30058)

[NS03] Shizuo Nakane and Dierk Schleicher, *On multicorns and unicorns. I. Antiholomorphic dynamics, hyperbolic components and real cubic polynomials*, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **13** (2003), no. 10, 2825–2844. MR2020986 (2004i:37099)

[NS96] ———, *The nonarcwise-connectedness of the tricorn*, Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku **959** (1996), 73–83. Problems concerning complex dynamical systems (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1995). MR1463779

[PR08] Carsten L. Petersen and Pascale Roesch, *The Yoccoz combinatorial analytic invariant*, Holomorphic dynamics and renormalization, 2008, pp. 145–176. MR2477422 (2009m:37136)

[Sch99] Dierk Schleicher, *On fibers and renormalization of julia sets and multibrot sets* (1999), available at `arXiv:math/9902156`.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan